

SUBJECTIVE RATINGS AND SCORING

Each responsive proposal will receive a score for each evaluation element identified BELOW. The scoring will align with defined adjectival ratings and associated scores for each evaluation element.

Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan Adjectival Ratings:

Adjectival Rating	Definition
Distinctive	Proposal exceeds the requirements in a way that promises significant benefits to the government; proposal presents innovative, and/or best-in-class solutions; high confidence in the proposed approach.
Superior	Proposals meets all requirements; proposal offers some benefits beyond the stated requirements; no material weaknesses; confidence in the proposed approach.
Satisfactory	Proposal meets all requirements; proposal offers no significant benefits beyond the stated requirements; no significant weaknesses exist; reasonable confidence in the proposed approach.
Marginal	Proposal has one or more significant weaknesses and proposal provides limited details; significant weaknesses are correctable without major revisions to the proposal; moderate confidence in the proposed approach.
Unsatisfactory	Proposal has several significant weaknesses and/or proposal lacks detail and/or clarity, for which correction would require major revisions or redirection of the proposal and/or proposal solution, little or no confidence in the proposed approach.

Scoring For Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan

The agency should then complete the scoring for each of the adjectival ratings in the following table. The agency should place maximum weight on the Distinctive adjectival rating, with lesser weight on each subsequent adjectival rating to drive optimal proposal responses.

Evaluation Elements	Distinctive	Superior	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview	20 points	15 points	10 points	5 points	1 point
Resources	20 points	15 points	10 points	5 points	1 point
Approach/Methodology	40 points	30 points	20 points	10 points	1 point
Availability	10 points	8 points	5 points	3 points	1 point

EVALUATION ELEMENTS

For each PAQ response, the local entity shall total (1) the cost points derived from the cost analysis, (2) the subjective evaluation score (“best” score) awarded during the PAQ response evaluation process, (3) the MBE/WBE Participation points awarded during the evaluation of RFPT30034902302350, and (4) the bonus preference points awarded during the evaluation of RFPT30034902302350. The contractor with the highest total points shall be awarded the specific project.

COST PROPOSAL	Total Maximum Cost Points: 50
SUBJECTIVE	Total Maximum Points: 150
NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview	NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview: Realistic timelines and explanations of any required data collection, data preparation, data remediation, and submission methodologies 20 points (maximum)
Resources	Leadership Team and Project Team described along with skills and knowledge of NG911 GIS Data Remediation requirements and processes. 20 points (maximum)
Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan	<p>Realistic Timelines and project expectations: Did the vendor demonstrate knowledge of the data collection, editing and remediation process in a way that is feasible within the timeframes of the project?</p> <p>Needs Assessment: Thorough description of how a vendor expects to assess the needs of any jurisdiction related to GIS data creation, editing and remediation. Along with an expressed understanding that any work is to be approved by the Board prior to initiation.</p> <p>Approach: Did the vendor provide detailed explanation of project steps and times frame for completion? And a discussion of approach to current project and ability to show that the proposed methodology and approach have resulted in desired outcomes in previous projects, specifically that their previous work on GIS data has resulted in successful loading into NG911 NGCS and provided for successful geospatial routing of 911 calls.</p>

EVALUATION ELEMENTS	
	<p>Work Plan: Did the vendor provide information regarding the risks associated with data collection, editing and remediation projects, including impact to expected timelines? Does work plan identifies risks and possible obstacles to be considered in the project</p> <p>On-going Data Maintenance Plan: Work Plan offers multiple different suggestions or solutions for ongoing maintenance of GIS data from continued use of a vendor to the hiring of local GIS personnel or other potential options 40 points (maximum)</p>
Availability	Description of contractor scheduling and project team availability: 10 points (maximum)
MBE/WBE PARTICIPATION	10 points (MBE/WBE Participation points awarded during the evaluation of RFPT30034902302350)

Contractor #1			
EVALUATION NOTES			
Scoring PAQ Responses			
Element	Rating	Score	Rationale to support the rating/score. Simple explanation justifying the rating/score given.
NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview			
Resources			
Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan			
Availability			

Contractor #2			
EVALUATION NOTES Scoring PAQ Responses			
Element	Rating	Score	Rationale to support the rating/score. Simple explanation justifying the rating/score given.
NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview			
Resources			
Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan			
Availability			

Contractor #3			
EVALUATION NOTES Scoring PAQ Responses			
Element	Rating	Score	Rationale to support the rating/score. Simple explanation justifying the rating/score given.
NG911 GIS Data Remediation Services Project Overview			
Resources			
Proposed Methodology, Approach, and Work Plan			
Availability			