

## Missouri 911 Service Board ARPA 2023 NG911 Funding Cycle -

**Review Rubric** 

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Reviewed:

Section	Specific Criteria	Point Allocation	Comments
<b>Project Manager:</b> Provide name, title, and contact information for the project's manager.	Provides adequate information	Up to one point. / 1	
Number of Applicants Applicants include (1) Counties, (2) Elected Emergency Services Boards, or (3) Regional Planning Commissions.	Provides adequate information	Up to eight points (1 per applicant)	
Number of PSAPs	Provides adequate information	Up to 15 points (1 per PSAP) / 15	
Applicant(s)' Service Level Information: Identify current 911 Service Board level at	Provides adequate information	One point for each county in the application that has a 911 service level of "Basic" (for up to 5 points)	

the time of the application (Basic: No 911 Equipment)		/5
Applicant(s)' Service Level Information: Identify current 911 Service Board level at the time of the application: Basic (No 911 Equipment), Enhanced (Only Wireline), Phase I (Wireless # Only), Start Phase II, Phase II (Lat/Long) and service level upon project completion.	-Provides adequate description & increase in service level	One point for each applicant that increases 911 service levels. (For up to 8 points) Up to 8 points / 8
<b>Applicant(s)' Text-to-911:</b> Applicant currently has text-to-911 or adequately describes how their project will achieve it	Provides adequate description	Up to 8 points (1 point per applicant)/ 8
<b>Applicant(s)' NG911 Compatibility:</b> Applicant currently has NG911 Compatibility or describes how their project will achieve it.	Provides adequate description and strategy	Up to 8 points (1 point per applicant) / 8
Applicant(s)' Emergency Medical Dispatch: Achieves EMD for applicants that do not currently have EMD.	-Provides adequate description and strategy	Up to 8 points (1 point per applicant)/ 8
<b>Applicant(s)' GIS Standards:</b> Applicant currently has mapping and addressing of all county locations that meets the Board's NG911 Standards, or has uploaded documentation to show their participation in the Board's Data Remediation Project	-Provides adequate description	Up to 8 points (1 point per applicant)/ 8
<b>Jurisdiction Information:</b> Describe number of constituents served by the entire project	-Provides adequate description of area served by project.	Up to 1 point.
<b>Applicant(s)' Training:</b> Applicant currently meets minimum training standards or describes how they will achieve it.	-Provides adequate description & strategy	Up to 8 points (1 point per applicant)/ 8

<ul> <li>Funding Objective(s): Indicate which of the following objective(s) you will address:</li> <li>Implementation of 911 services in counties of the state where services do not exist</li> <li>Improve existing 911 systems</li> <li>Promotion of consolidation of PSAPS</li> <li>Ensuring primary access and texting abilities to 911</li> <li>Implementation of initial emergency medical dispatch services, including prearrival instructions in counties where those services are not offered as of the date the application is submitted</li> <li>Development or connection to an emergency services internet protocol network</li> </ul>	Adequately identified and verified by technical assistance report.	Up to 6 points (1 point per funding objective met) / 6
<b>Consolidation:</b> Does your project include the physical or virtual consolidation of two or more PSAPs?	-Adequately identified and described.	One point may be awarded per PSAP included in consolidation, up to fifteen/ 15
Additional Considerations: Would your project address any of the following? (1) address funding objectives in the most cost-efficient way possible (2) focus on call-taking and citizen locating (3) addresses Missouri's highest need areas (4) helps move the state forward as a whole (5) helps to address staff shortages through consolidation and call rollover	-Adequately identified, described, and verified by technical assistance report.	Up to five points. / 5

<ul> <li>Detailed budget proposal for the total cost of the project, including the following:</li> <li>Total amount of funding requested.</li> <li>Total project amount</li> <li>Required match amount.</li> <li>Additional funding sources, if applicable</li> <li>Proposed expenditures</li> </ul>	-Budget is reasonable and feasible, and adequate justification is provided.	Up to three points/3	
<b>Project Pricing:</b> Provide a description of all RFPs issued for this project, where postings were listed, and how the RFPs project pricing was obtained.	-Adequately describes how project pricing was obtained, including relevant materials	Up to one point. /1	
Lowest Cost Obtained	-Adequately demonstrates best efforts to lower project costs, including BAFOs from vendors	Up to one point. /1	
Proposed timeline: Provide a <b>work plan</b> <b>and timeline</b> that identifies activities and proposed start and completion dates;	-Adequately (1) identifies activities, key start & completion dates and (2) key (3) personnel associated	Up to three points.	
Plan for <b>reporting progress and</b> <b>expenditures</b> to the Board	-Agreement to the Board's plan for accountability and reporting	Up to one point. / 1	

Sustainability: provide a brief description of how activities in the funded project will be able to be <b>sustained beyond the life of the</b> <b>grant</b> being requested or a plan for sustainability has been developed.	-Adequately describes how the activities identified in the application will be sustained beyond the completion date of the funded project	Up to two points. One additional point may be awarded if the application shows above average confidence of sustainability and a second additional point may be awarded if the application shows high confidence of sustainability / 2	
Total			

Recommendation: (Approve/Do not Approve/ Approve, In-part/Approve with Comment or Recommendation) – Please make a recommendation and justify your answer.